School Year: **2024-25**

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
San Miguel Charter Elementary School	49-70805-6051858	2/3/25	5/13/25

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by San Miguel Charter Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

> Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by San Miguel Charter Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

⟩ Schoolwide Program

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did San Miguel Charter Elementary School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The review of the SPSA occurs during the San Miguel Site Council meetings. These meetings normally occur at 3:30 pm at school, but since Covid, they are now taking place over Zoom. The members are sent the SPSA electronically in advance of the meeting, and then it is reviewed for questions, comments, or edits during the meeting. Zoom meetings are more convenient for all Site Council members and attendance has improved.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

No inequities were identified. However, due to Covid, there has been an increased need of SEL (Social Emotional Learning) among the students. The district has added an additional counselor to address this need. In addition, the counselor is pushing in to classrooms and is teaching techniques as opposed to spending 100% of their time completing therapy with students..

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators
Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

Other Needs
In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for San Miguel Charter Elementary School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	**Percent of Enrollment**			**Number of Students**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
American Indian	0.50%	0%	%	2	0	
African American	1.25%	0.74%	0.50%	5	3	2
Asian	2.76%	2.95%	2.97%	11	12	12
Filipino	0.25%	0.98%	0.74%	1	4	3
Hispanic/Latino	30.08%	29.98%	30.20%	120	122	122
Pacific Islander	0.50%	0%	%	2	0	
White	56.39%	56.76%	57.67%	225	231	233
Two or More Races	8.27%	8.6%	7.92%	33	35	32
Not Reported	%	0%	%	0	0	
			Total Enrollment	399	407	404

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	**Number of Students**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**
Kindergarten	76	70	54
Grade 1	49	62	50
Grade 2	54	51	61
Grade3	61	53	57
Grade 4	51	60	53
Grade 5	53	58	57
Grade 6	55	53	56
Total Enrollment	399	407	404

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Student Group ethnicities have remained very stable over the past few years.

2. Total enrollment dropped during the Covid years but it is moving closer to pre-pandemic levels.

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	**Number of Students**			**Percent of Students**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
English Learners	39	37	42	9.7%	9.8%	10.4%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	25	23	21	6.8%	6.3%	5.2%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)		8		10.8%		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, the number of EL students has remained stable

2. The percent of Fluent English students has remained stable.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Grade Level	Overall Participation for All Students											
	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	61	54	54	60	54	54	60	54	54	98.4	100.0	100
Grade 4	52	58	53	52	58	53	52	58	53	100.0	100.0	100
Grade 5	53	57	57	53	56	56	53	56	56	100.0	98.2	98.2
Grade 6	57	52	55	56	51	55	56	51	55	98.2	98.1	100
All Grades	223	221	219	221	219	218	221	219	218	99.1	99.1	99.5

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Grade Level	Overall Achievement for All Students														
	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2403.	2435.	2454.	20.00	25.93	35.19	23.33	24.07	24.07	18.33	29.63	25.93	38.33	20.37	14.81
Grade 4	2433.	2462.	2469.	17.31	24.14	28.30	17.31	18.97	16.98	25.00	25.86	20.75	40.38	31.03	33.96
Grade 5	2493.	2500.	2472.	22.64	23.21	16.07	24.53	26.79	26.79	22.64	23.21	17.86	30.19	26.79	39.29
Grade 6	2496.	2542.	2533.	5.36	23.53	20.00	28.57	35.29	38.18	35.71	23.53	20.00	30.36	17.65	21.82
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.29	24.20	24.77	23.53	26.03	26.61	25.34	25.57	21.10	34.84	24.20	27.52

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts								
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
Grade 3	13.33	20.37	22.22	55.00	62.96	70.37	31.67	16.67	7.41
Grade 4	17.31	12.07	16.98	55.77	72.41	75.47	26.92	15.52	7.55
Grade 5	7.55	19.64	12.50	69.81	64.29	60.71	22.64	16.07	26.79
Grade 6	8.93	19.61	21.82	64.29	62.75	52.73	26.79	17.65	25.45
All Grades	11.76	17.81	18.35	61.09	65.75	64.68	27.15	16.44	16.97

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing								
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
Grade 3	15.00	22.22	29.63	51.67	57.41	51.85	33.33	20.37	18.52
Grade 4	13.46	22.41	7.55	51.92	48.28	69.81	34.62	29.31	22.64
Grade 5	24.53	21.43	19.64	45.28	58.93	50.00	30.19	19.64	30.36
Grade 6	7.14	15.69	14.55	55.36	70.59	63.64	37.50	13.73	21.82
All Grades	14.93	20.55	17.89	51.13	58.45	58.72	33.94	21.00	23.39

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills								
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
Grade 3	13.33	12.96	11.11	75.00	74.07	68.52	11.67	12.96	20.37
Grade 4	13.46	8.62	9.43	59.62	79.31	75.47	26.92	12.07	15.09
Grade 5	15.09	8.93	14.29	67.92	69.64	67.86	16.98	21.43	17.86
Grade 6	10.71	17.65	14.55	78.57	72.55	76.36	10.71	9.80	9.09
All Grades	13.12	11.87	12.39	70.59	73.97	72.02	16.29	14.16	15.60

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information								
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	21-22	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**	**21-22**	**22-23**	**23-24**
Grade 3	16.67	20.37	18.52	51.67	64.81	72.22	31.67	14.81	9.26
Grade 4	7.69	12.07	13.21	65.38	79.31	71.70	26.92	8.62	15.09
Grade 5	22.64	14.29	10.71	49.06	66.07	58.93	28.30	19.64	30.36
Grade 6	5.36	17.65	25.45	83.93	72.55	67.27	10.71	9.80	7.27
All Grades	13.12	15.98	16.97	62.44	70.78	67.43	24.43	13.24	15.60

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More focus on 5th grade ELA

2. There was a significant improvement in overall CAASSP scores

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	61	54	54	60	54	54	60	54	54	98.4	100.0	100
Grade 4	52	58	53	52	58	53	52	58	53	100.0	100.0	100
Grade 5	53	58	57	53	57	56	53	57	56	100.0	98.3	98.2
Grade 6	57	52	55	56	51	55	56	51	55	98.2	98.1	100
All Grades	223	222	219	221	220	218	221	220	218	99.1	99.1	99.5

Overall Participation for All Students

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2455.	2447.	2460.	23.33	25.93	35.19	41.67	27.78	25.93	23.33	31.48	22.22	11.67	14.81	16.67
Grade 4	2459.	2478.	2477.	15.38	18.97	22.64	21.15	31.03	24.53	34.62	29.31	33.96	28.85	20.69	18.87
Grade 5	2488.	2489.	2476.	16.98	14.04	12.50	13.21	17.54	23.21	37.74	36.84	21.43	32.08	31.58	42.86
Grade 6	2519.	2542.	2541.	14.29	27.45	23.64	26.79	21.57	27.27	33.93	29.41	27.27	25.00	21.57	21.82
Grade 11															
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.65	21.36	23.39	26.24	24.55	25.23	32.13	31.82	26.15	23.98	22.27	25.23

Overall Achievement for All Students

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures								
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	35.00	31.48	44.44	55.00	51.85	40.74	10.00	16.67	14.81
Grade 4	17.31	29.31	24.53	46.15	48.28	49.06	36.54	22.41	26.42
Grade 5	18.87	10.53	16.07	52.83	57.89	50.00	28.30	31.58	33.93
Grade 6	17.86	31.37	29.09	50.00	47.06	49.09	32.14	21.57	21.82
Grade 11									
All Grades	22.62	25.45	28.44	51.13	51.36	47.25	26.24	23.18	24.31

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems								
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	30.00	18.52	27.78	51.67	53.70	51.85	18.33	27.78	20.37
Grade 4	19.23	18.97	26.42	42.31	55.17	43.40	38.46	25.86	30.19
Grade 5	7.55	14.04	8.93	58.49	59.65	50.00	33.96	26.32	41.07
Grade 6	7.14	17.65	16.36	62.50	58.82	56.36	30.36	23.53	27.27
All Grades	16.29	17.27	19.72	53.85	56.82	50.46	29.86	25.91	29.82

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions								
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	26.67	25.93	33.33	65.00	68.52	55.56	8.33	5.56	11.11
Grade 4	19.23	20.69	16.98	50.00	50.00	60.38	30.77	29.31	22.64
Grade 5	13.21	8.77	5.36	62.26	61.40	53.57	24.53	29.82	41.07
Grade 6	12.50	17.65	25.45	69.64	56.86	54.55	17.86	25.49	20.00
All Grades	18.10	18.18	20.18	61.99	59.09	55.96	19.91	22.73	23.85

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 5th grade math continues to be challenging across the state

2. Math scores have improved over the past two years

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) web page or the ELPAC.org website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

	ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students											
Grade Level	**Overall**			**Oral Language**			**Written Language**			**Number of Students Tested**		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	7	7
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	4
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	10	*	4
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	5	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	5	6
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	6
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5
All Grades										31	29	34

	Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students											
Grade Level	**Level 4**			**Level 3**			**Level 2**			**Level 1**			**Total Number of Students**		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	29.03	27.59	8.82	45.16	51.72	32.35	19.35	6.90	38.24	6.45	13.79	20.59	31	29	34

Oral Language
Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	61.29	65.52	23.53	22.58	20.69	41.18	9.68	3.45	17.65	6.45	10.34	17.65	31	29	34

Written Language
Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	19.35	17.24	2.94	16.13	10.34	20.59	45.16	55.17	47.06	19.35	17.24	29.41	31	29	34

Listening Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	45.16	31.03	26.47	48.39	62.07	58.82	6.45	6.90	14.71	31	29	34

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	70.97	68.97	32.35	19.35	17.24	47.06	9.68	13.79	20.59	31	29	34

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	19.35	17.24	5.88	48.39	62.07	47.06	32.26	20.69	47.06	31	29	34

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	20.00	24.14	14.71	63.33	62.07	50.00	16.67	13.79	35.29	30	29	34

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students did not take the ELPAC in Spring of 2020 due to COVID 19

2. Fewer students took the ELPAC in 2021-22.

3. The number of EL students is slowly declining

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2023-24 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
404	**40.6%**	**10.4%**	**0.5%**
Total Number of Students enrolled in San Miguel Charter Elementary School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2023-24 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
English Learners	42	10.4%
Foster Youth	2	0.5%
Homeless	0	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	164	40.6%
Students with Disabilities	46	11.4%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
African American	2	0.5%
American Indian	0	0.0%
Asian	12	3%
Filipino	3	0.7%
Hispanic	122	30.2%
Two or More Races	32	7.9%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
White	233	57.7%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. .Despite changing numbers of enrollment, student group percentages have remained very stable.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

2024 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts Yellow	**Chronic Absenteeism** Yellow	**Suspension Rate** Green
Mathematics Yellow		
English Learner Progress No Performance Color		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. .Math is a strength compared to Language Arts

2. Our work with the Youth Truth Survey will help us with recommendation for student engagement.

3. English learners are making progress

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
## English Language Arts

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report				
Red	**Orange**	**Yellow**	**Green**	**Blue**
1	3	0	1	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Long-Term English Learners**
Yellow	Orange	No Performance Color
0.1 points below standard	40.4 points below standard	Less than 11 Students
Declined 3.8 points	Declined 27.5 points	
214 Students	35 Students	5 Students
Foster Youth	**Homeless**	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
0 Students	0 Students	25.0 points below standard
		Declined 4.7 points
		93 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Red	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
71.6 points below standard	Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students
Declined 9.4 points		
33 Students	1 Student	0 Students

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students	22.6 points below standard
		Declined 4.2 points
6 Students	3 Students	73 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Green
8.8 points above standard	0 Students	11.2 points above standard
Maintained 2.8 points		Declined 5.6 points
17 Students		114 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 21-22 showed a dip in ELA scores from prior years

2. The staff is looking at interventions to improve scores

3. New curriculum (SIPPS) was acquired in primary grades which should help with teaching reading .

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
Mathematics

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	**Orange**	**Yellow**	**Green**	**Blue**
0	4	0	1	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Long-Term English Learners**
Yellow	Orange	No Performance Color
9.1 points below standard	46.4 points below standard	Less than 11 Students
Maintained 1.2 points	Declined 24.5 points	
214 Students	35 Students	5 Students
Foster Youth	**Homeless**	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
0 Students	0 Students	39.9 points below standard
		Declined 8.4 points
		93 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Orange	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
91.5 points below standard	Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students
Declined 6.7 points		
33 Students	1 Student	0 Students

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
Less than 11 Students	Less than 11 Students	32.9 points below standard
		Declined 6.3 points
6 Students	3 Students	73 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Green
9.1 points below standard	0 Students	6.4 points above standard
Declined 5.6 points		Maintained 1.5 points
17 Students		114 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Math scores overall are stronger than ELA scores

2. Teachers are engaged in Math talks to improve scores

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Learner Progress

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress	**Long-Term English Learner Progress**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color
29.2% making progress.	making progress.
Number Students: 24 Students	Number Students: 2 Students

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	**Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H**	**Maintained ELPI Level 4**	**Progressed At Least One ELPI Level**
37.5%	33.3%	0%	29.2%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. EL students are making progress

# School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
College/Career Report

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2024 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
Chronic Absenteeism

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	**Orange**	**Yellow**	**Green**	**Blue**

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	**English Learners**	**Long-Term English Learners**
Yellow	Yellow	No Performance Color
10.6% Chronically Absent	19.6% Chronically Absent	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy
Declined 11.3	Declined 8.6	
416 Students	46 Students	5 Students

Foster Youth	**Homeless**	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Yellow
Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	0 Students	14.4% Chronically Absent
		Declined 13.2
4 Students		180 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
16.7% Chronically Absent	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy
Declined 9.7	2 Students	1 Student
54 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Yellow
8.3% Chronically Absent	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	18.6% Chronically Absent
Increased 8.3	3 Students	Declined 11.1
12 Students		129 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
Green	No Performance Color	Green
6.3% Chronically Absent	0 Students	6.8% Chronically Absent
Declined 10.4		Declined 13.2
32 Students		237 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall there was an increase in Chronic Absenteeism.

**2.** Due to Covid protocol, many more students were absent

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
Graduation Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate
## Suspension Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	2	0	1	3

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Green	Blue	No Performance Color
1.2% suspended at least one day	0% suspended at least one day	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy
Declined 0.5%	Maintained 0%	5 Students
419 Students	47 Students	

Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Green
Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	0 Students	2.2% suspended at least one day
4 Students		Declined 0.4%
		181 Students

Students with Disabilities	**African American**	**American Indian**
Orange	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
3.6% suspended at least one day	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy
Increased 3.6%	2 Students	1 Student
55 Students		

Asian	**Filipino**	**Hispanic**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Blue
0% suspended at least one day	Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy	0% suspended at least one day
Maintained 0%	4 Students	Declined 1.5%
13 Students		130 Students

Two or More Races	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Blue	No Performance Color	Orange
0% suspended at least one day	0 Students	2.1% suspended at least one day
Declined 2.8%		Increased 0.4%
32 Students		237 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More white students were suspended than any other race/ethnicity

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal
Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Academic Achievement ELA
All students (including English Learners [ELs] and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students [SES]) will demonstrate a 3% overall growth on the Smarter Balanced Assessments in English Language Arts. (PUPIL OUTCOMES) This will remain the same due to Distance Learning and COVID 19. Overall, 2023 scores in ELA were improved over the previous year.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned
LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Pupil Outcome

Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

This will be based on the 2024 CAASPP data.

Annual Measurable Outcomes
Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP test results	51% of students (met or above) average across 3rd-6th grade	53% students (met or above)

Strategies/Activities
Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Teacher training	All students	5,844 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
1.2	Teachers will review student results from DIBELS (K-3) or STAR (4-6)	All students	0 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
1.3	Teachers will review Interim Block Assessments	All students	0 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
1.4	Students below grade level will receive support during blended services time.	All students	0 Title I

			Included in Goal #3, Activity #3
1.5	K-6 instructional Aides, 1 hour per day	All students	153,822 LCFF - Supplemental para-educators
1.6	English Learners, in need of intervention, will receive instruction to support their CELDT level.	EL students	23,542 LCFF - Supplemental EIA
1.7	Maintain minimum class sizes when possible	All students	

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Our CAASPP scores improved, however, only by 1%, not the 3% we were aiming for.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major difference between the intended implementation and the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes will be made to the goal, new strategies will include using IXL to aid in preparing for the CAASPP test.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal
Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Academic Achievement Mathematics
All students in grades 3-6 (including English Learners [ELs] and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students [SES]) will demonstrate a 3% overall growth on the Smarter Balanced Assessments in Mathematics. (PUPIL OUTCOMES)

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned
LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Pupil Outcomes

Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Will use SBAC 2023 scores as a baseline

Annual Measurable Outcomes
Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP scores of students who met or exceeded standard	48% of the students met or above standard (average of grades 3-6th)	49% of the students will meet or be above standard (average of grades 3-6th)

Strategies/Activities
Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Teachers will review CAASP results	All students	0 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
2.2	Teachers will review formative assessment data from Math Expressions.	All students	0 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
2.3	Teachers will review Interim Block Assessments	All students	0 Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
2.4	K-6 instructional Aides	All students	0 LCFF - Supplemental

				included in Goal #1
2.5	Implement Inquiry Based Instruction to increase math achievement for all students.	All students		
2.6	Maintain minimum class sizes when possible	All students		
2.7	0.6 FTE Intervention teacher	Students in grades 4-6th	48,962 Learning Recovery Block Grant Intervention Teacher 41,977 LCFF - Supplemental Intervention Teacher

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Our CAASPP scores improved, however, by 2%, not the 3% we were aiming for.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major difference between the intended implementation and the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes will be made to the goal, new strategies will include using IXL to aid in preparing for the CAASPP test.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal
Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

English Language Arts - Reading
In grades 1-3, 75% of all students will be at benchmark or above on the Composite DIBELS score by June of 2024. The remaining 25% will have demonstrated 10% growth as measured by DIBELS composite.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned
LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Pupil Outcomes

Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Building foundational skills to ensure reading success.

Annual Measurable Outcomes
Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
DIBELS scores	61% of the students are at or above benchmark.	75% are at or above benchmark

Strategies/Activities
Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	All 1st-3rd students will participate in DIBELs. In grades 4-6, all students will take DIBELS	All 1st-3rd grade students	10,200 Lottery: Instructional Materials Restricted Lottery funds
3.2	Teachers will review DIBELS data during co-op meetings		Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality Included in Goal #1
3.3	Title I reading teacher will work with tier 2 students. Implement school day intervention program 30 minutes per day, four days a week, incorporating phonological awareness, phones, and fluency.	Title I Reading teacher	165,748 Title I Reading Teacher, LCFF Funds also support program
3.4	Progress Monitoring	All students	

| 3.5 | Intervention teacher will work with select upper grade student in reading | Struggling 4th-6th grade readers | |

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation of the strategies was effective to achieve the goal

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major difference between the intended implementation and the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes will be made to this goal.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal
Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

English Learners
Among all level 1 & 2 EL students in grades K - 6th, 75% will advance to the next level. Among all level 3 students in K - 6th, 60% will advance to level 4.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned
LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Pupil Outcomes

Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Students need to make progress by increasing to higher levels with the goal of becoming proficient.

Annual Measurable Outcomes
Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
ELPAC scores		

Strategies/Activities
Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	An ELD para-educator will push in and work with groups of students for specific instruction at various proficiency levels during the school day and/or go into classes to deliver ELD or tutoring in core subjects.	Identified EL Students	0 LCFF - Supplemental included in Goal #1, Activity #6
4.2	Use of Rosetta Stone	New EL students will have access to Rosetta Stone	240 Title III

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation and effectiveness of the strategies and activities to achieve the goal were insufficient.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The difference between the implementation of of the sttrategies and the outcome was that the strategies were not effective enough.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

More thought, strategies and resources need to be put forth to achieve this goal.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal
Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

School Climate and Culture All students will be educated in a safe and healthy learning environment. (SCHOOL CLIMATE)

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned
LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

School Climate

Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Social Emotional Learning support continues to be a need for many students. The district participated in the Youth Truth survey last year and will participate this year. Based on the results, we'll identify needs for the following year. Overall, students feel very happy and connected at San Miguel.

Annual Measurable Outcomes
Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Strategies/Activities
Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
5.1	A 1.0 Counselor working in conjunction with counseling interns will assist students who have counseling needs. Counselors are also push into classrooms to teach students friendship skills.	All students	138,926 LCFF - Supplemental
5.2	Running Club and Creative Corner	All students	Site Formula Funds
5.3	Students who exhibit positive character traits will be celebrated at monthly assemblies.	All students	250 Site Formula Funds
5.4	Yard Supervisors will be trained on Building Effective Schools Together (BEST)	All students	Educator Effectiveness Block Grant Included in Activity 6
5.5	PTO Familiy events	All students	Parent Teacher Association/Parent Faculty

			Club (PTA/PFC/PTSO, PTO, etc.)
5.6	Staff received training in PBIS and UDL strategies before the start of the school year and throughout the school year.	All Students	26,259 Educator Effectiveness Block Grant

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The strategies and activities were effective in achieving the goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major difference between the intended implementation and the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes will be made to this goal.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	$615,770.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	$

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation ($)
Title I	$165,748.00
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	$5,844.00
Title III	$240.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: $171,832.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation ($)
Educator Effectiveness Block Grant	$26,259.00
LCFF - Supplemental	$358,267.00
Learning Recovery Block Grant	$48,962.00
Lottery: Instructional Materials	$10,200.00
Site Formula Funds	$250.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: $443,938.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: $615,770.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Educator Effectiveness Block Grant	26,259.00
LCFF - Supplemental	358,267.00
Learning Recovery Block Grant	48,962.00
Lottery: Instructional Materials	10,200.00
Site Formula Funds	250.00
Title I	165,748.00
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	5,844.00
Title III	240.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
	Educator Effectiveness Block Grant	26,259.00
	LCFF - Supplemental	358,267.00
	Learning Recovery Block Grant	48,962.00
	Lottery: Instructional Materials	10,200.00
	Site Formula Funds	250.00
	Title I	165,748.00
	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	5,844.00
	Title III	240.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	183,208.00
Goal 2	90,939.00
Goal 3	175,948.00
Goal 4	240.00
Goal 5	165,435.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal

2 Classroom Teachers

1 Other School Staff

2 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Patrick Eagle	Principal
Hilary Hubert	Other School Staff
Carrie Staten	Classroom Teacher
Christie Watkins	Classroom Teacher
Al Musetti	Parent or Community Member
James Griffin	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name
	District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 3/31/25.

Attested:

Principal, Patrick Eagle on
SSC Chairperson, James Griffin on

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code* (*EC*) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.
For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description
Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement
Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment
Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach.
A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **S**pecific,
- **M**easurable,
- **A**chievable,
- **R**ealistic, and
- **T**ime-bound.

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.
A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table
Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.
Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures.
  Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Note: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:
- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:
- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

I.   The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
    A.   Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
        1.   The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
            a.   Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
            b.   Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
                i.   Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
                ii.   Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
                iii.   Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
                iv.   Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
                v.   Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
    B.   Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

II.   The SPSA shall include the following:
    A.   Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
    B.   Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
   a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
   b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
      i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
      ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
      iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
   c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
      i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
      ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
      iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
      iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
      v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
   1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
   2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
   3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (S*ections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);

2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf);

   Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (S*ections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and

4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (S*ections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab): https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp

- **CSI Webinars**: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp

- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts**: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab): https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp

- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf

- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
- Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023