

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
San Miguel Charter Elementary School	49-70805-6051858	1/11/21	1/19/21

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school’s plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Purpose and Description.....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
Data Analysis	4
Surveys	4
Classroom Observations.....	4
Analysis of Current Instructional Program.....	4
Stakeholder Involvement	8
Resource Inequities	8
School and Student Performance Data	9
Student Enrollment.....	9
CAASPP Results.....	11
ELPAC Results	15
Student Population.....	18
Overall Performance	19
Academic Performance	20
Academic Engagement.....	26
Conditions & Climate.....	29
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	31
Goal 1.....	31
Goal 2.....	35
Goal 3.....	38
Goal 4.....	40
Goal 5.....	42
Budget Summary	45
Budget Summary	45
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	45
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	46
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	46
Expenditures by Funding Source	46
Expenditures by Budget Reference	46
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	46
Expenditures by Goal.....	47
School Site Council Membership	48
Recommendations and Assurances	49

Instructions.....50

 Instructions: Linked Table of Contents.....50

 Purpose and Description.....51

 Stakeholder Involvement.....51

 Resource Inequities51

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review52

 Annual Review53

 Budget Summary54

 Appendix A: Plan Requirements56

 Appendix B:.....59

 Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs61

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Each year, a survey is sent out to parents asking about the strengths and weaknesses of our programs at San Miguel. The responses are tabulated and a summary is shared with staff, the parents via newsletter and the Site Council. Due to COVID 19, a survey was not sent out spring of 2020.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

In general, the principal visits every classroom, every day. In addition, groups of teachers are observed annually and given feedback by the principal through the formal evaluation process. In addition, the Principal makes informal observations and comments as needed on a daily basis.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Each year, the principal shares dis-aggregated state test data with staff. Staff analyze the data, look for trends and for areas of broad focus. During the school year, once each trimester, teachers meet with the principal, Title 1 reading teacher, RSP teacher, and GATE teacher to review student language arts progress using local measures- Diagnostic Reading Assessment, Renaissance STAR assessment, DIBELS, ESGI report on phonics/phonemic awareness. Data is also reviewed at staff meetings and site collaboration days. The goal of analyzing data is to improve instruction for students.

Due to COVID 19, most end of year assessments were not given.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

The district has adopted and is implementing the Common Core ELA programs: Wonders in grades TK-5, and Study Sync in grade 6. Curriculum based assessments along with local measures will be used to assess student progress. In math, teachers use the Curriculum embedded assessments from Math Expressions, including the benchmark assessment twice a year.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All teachers meet the requirements for highly qualified staff.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

Teachers continue to focus in the area of English Language Arts and English Language Development. The district has adopted the Wonders (TK-5) and Study Sync ELA curriculum. Teachers received 1 full day of professional development in these curricula in August and ongoing professional development during District Collaboration days as well as 1/2 day release. All staff initially received training on the Math Expressions adopted math program. They received additional support on Common Core math strategies with support from the County Office of Education. Other areas of training have been offered to aid in the teachers skills for Distant Learning. This has included training in Zoom and other online learning platforms (ie. Google Classroom, SeeSaw, etc.)

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

In addition to professional development in the newly adopted Language Arts Curriculum, Professional Development is focused on improving the performance of our English Language Learners. An additional area of staff development, in alignment with the District Strategic Plan, is a focus on Social Emotional Learning. Staff has received training in BEST (Building Effective Schools Together), and Toolbox, a research based SEL program.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

One goal in the district strategic plan is an emphasis on Project Based Learning. The District provides support for teachers in implementing PBL units through the services of a teacher on special assignment who provides planning and unit design support, and co-teaches the units and/or lessons in the classrooms.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Every Wednesday is an early release day for teacher planning and collaboration. Of those, eight are designated as site specific days for staff to work together in areas for school improvement. Additionally, three times each year teachers meet with the principal, Title 1 Reading teacher and RSP teacher to review student assessment data and plan for meeting student needs through 'co-op' meetings. For the 20-21 school year, site days were not held as the teachers needed time to plan and create lessons across their grade levels. Often times, grade level teachers would records lessons and those lessons were shared across the grade level.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

The district has adopted and is implementing the Common Core ELA programs: Wonders in grades TK-5, and Study Sync in grade 6. Our Common Core aligned Math series is Math Expressions.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

Recommended instructional minutes are built into each class schedule in the area of language arts and mathematics.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Reading intervention is built into the schedule through our Blended Services RTI program. Each grade level has a 30 minute block of time to provide reading intervention to students. During the Co-op meetings it is discussed which students will continue, exit, or be added to the Reading Intervention.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

Every student has access to the core curriculum materials which are standards based. English learners benefit from the use of the ELD materials within the adopted curriculum. Students performing below grade level are instructed with the intervention materials within the curriculum.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

This is an area of concern that is being addressed. The district has adopted and implemented SBE adopted, common core aligned math program. We are in the process of adopting a SBE common core aligned Language Arts program.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Teachers use the results of frequent, formative assessments to drive instruction and provide intervention within their classrooms for underperforming students. Additionally, San Miguel Elementary has implemented an in school intervention program, called Blended Services, which provides additional tier 2 and tier 3 support for underperforming students in Language Arts. Four days a week, for 30 minutes a day, students within the grade level are grouped by ability levels and need. The Title 1 Reading Teacher and RSP teacher are part of these groups, allowing group sizes to be smaller and gaps in learning to be targeted more effectively. Each teacher is responsible for implementing designated English Language Development and assisting those students to access to the core curriculum. Their specialized training (CLAD, SB 1969 or AB 395) has prepared them to customize instruction for students with various levels of English proficiency. Our site ELD paraprofessional provides additional integrated English Language Development as an additional support to our English Learners.

See above

Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

In addition to the general education program or classroom in which all students are assigned, San Miguel Elementary School provides educational services to all students in its Blended Services Program. The Blended Services Program takes all the categorical resources listed above (personnel and instructional materials) and pulls them together to create a coordinated school wide intervention/acceleration program. The premise of the program is that all students of all abilities receive direct instruction at their ability level to help them catch up or accelerate their rate of learning. Students who are below grade level are identified and served before they fall too far behind. Students who are above grade level receive an accelerated curriculum that challenges their academic abilities. Para-professionals work in our kindergarten and first grade rooms during Blended services and for a 30 minute language arts block to assist struggling students. Additional funds are used to provide before school reading intervention for struggling students. At the site level, parents are in and out of our classrooms on a regular basis providing that extra adult help during instructional periods and on special occasions. Classroom based volunteers allow the teacher to provide the students with extra one on one help. To support our many busy families, before and after school daycare is provided on the San Miguel Elementary School campus by the Extended Child-care Coalition and Boys and Girls Club. These programs allow students a safe and fun place to be before and after school. Enrichment activities, homework club, and snacks are all part of the both programs.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Teachers, parents and community members make up the School Site Council. It meets 3-4 times per year and reviews the annual site improvement plan and safety plan.

Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Our Reading Intervention teacher is funded by Title I money. She sees students in 1st - 3rd grade levels during morning instruction block, and then focuses again on other students with afternoon reading groups. Students who are struggling in reading often receive a double dose of reading instruction beyond their classroom interventions. She includes English Learners in her reading groups and incorporates English Language Development strategies while teaching reading. Additionally, LCFF and Site funds are used to provide learning opportunities (before Blended Services, with reading or math support).

Fiscal support (EPC)

See Funding

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The review of the SPSA occurs during the San Miguel Site Council meetings. These meetings occur at 3:30 pm at school. The members are sent the SPSA electronically in advance of the meeting, and then it is reviewed for questions, comments, or edits during the meeting.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

No inequities were identified.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20
American Indian	1.14%	0.91%	0.94%	5	4	4
African American	0.91%	0.91%	1.18%	4	4	5
Asian	3.19%	3.65%	3.07%	14	16	13
Filipino	0.68%	0.68%	0.71%	3	3	3
Hispanic/Latino	30.07%	31.28%	30.19%	132	137	128
Pacific Islander	%	%	0%			0
White	56.26%	55.25%	55.19%	247	242	234
Two or More Responses	%	%	0%			37
Not Reported	%	%	8.73%			0
Total Enrollment				439	438	424

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20
Kindergarten	82	80	72
Grade 1	63	60	63
Grade 2	60	64	54
Grade3	61	60	60
Grade 4	56	59	61
Grade 5	61	61	58
Grade 6	56	54	56
Total Enrollment	439	438	424

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20
English Learners	48	45	38	10.9%	10.3%	9.0%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	20	20	25	4.6%	4.6%	5.9%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	10	9	14	20.0%	18.8%	31.1%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, there has been a slight decrease in the number of EL students

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	60	60	61	60	58	61	59	58	61	100	96.7	100
Grade 4	61	57	62	61	57	62	61	57	62	100	100	100
Grade 5	61	59	62	61	59	62	61	59	62	100	100	100
Grade 6	60	56	53	60	56	53	60	56	53	100	100	100
All	242	232	238	242	230	238	241	230	238	100	99.1	100

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2433.	2449.	2427.	35.59	29.31	24.59	18.64	34.48	24.59	22.03	22.41	27.87	23.73	13.79	22.95
Grade 4	2478.	2477.	2468.	24.59	26.32	19.35	24.59	26.32	32.26	24.59	21.05	27.42	26.23	26.32	20.97
Grade 5	2466.	2491.	2495.	4.92	16.95	17.74	31.15	32.20	29.03	24.59	25.42	19.35	39.34	25.42	33.87
Grade 6	2547.	2538.	2548.	21.67	14.29	20.75	35.00	42.86	43.40	30.00	26.79	15.09	13.33	16.07	20.75
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.58	21.74	20.59	27.39	33.91	31.93	25.31	23.91	22.69	25.73	20.43	24.79

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	28.81	29.31	22.95	40.68	55.17	50.82	30.51	15.52	26.23
Grade 4	27.87	29.82	20.97	49.18	50.88	56.45	22.95	19.30	22.58
Grade 5	14.75	20.34	24.19	54.10	54.24	43.55	31.15	25.42	32.26
Grade 6	28.33	21.43	22.64	53.33	62.50	56.60	18.33	16.07	20.75
All Grades	24.90	25.22	22.69	49.38	55.65	51.68	25.73	19.13	25.63

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	23.73	27.59	14.75	57.63	53.45	57.38	18.64	18.97	27.87
Grade 4	27.87	21.05	16.13	47.54	52.63	64.52	24.59	26.32	19.35
Grade 5	21.31	20.34	16.13	34.43	47.46	56.45	44.26	32.20	27.42
Grade 6	25.00	25.00	33.96	58.33	48.21	47.17	16.67	26.79	18.87
All Grades	24.48	23.48	19.75	49.38	50.43	56.72	26.14	26.09	23.53

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	23.73	17.24	19.67	61.02	67.24	73.77	15.25	15.52	6.56
Grade 4	14.75	26.32	14.52	73.77	66.67	69.35	11.48	7.02	16.13
Grade 5	6.56	13.56	16.13	72.13	67.80	66.13	21.31	18.64	17.74
Grade 6	20.00	16.07	11.32	68.33	80.36	75.47	11.67	3.57	13.21
All Grades	16.18	18.26	15.55	68.88	70.43	71.01	14.94	11.30	13.45

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	27.12	31.03	19.67	47.46	62.07	59.02	25.42	6.90	21.31
Grade 4	26.23	24.56	9.68	52.46	54.39	70.97	21.31	21.05	19.35
Grade 5	11.48	23.73	20.97	55.74	47.46	48.39	32.79	28.81	30.65
Grade 6	26.67	26.79	33.96	58.33	64.29	50.94	15.00	8.93	15.09
All Grades	22.82	26.52	20.59	53.53	56.96	57.56	23.65	16.52	21.85

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More focus on 5th grade ELA
2. CAASPP was not available to take in the Spring of 2020

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	60	60	61	60	58	61	60	58	61	100	96.7	100
Grade 4	61	57	62	61	57	62	61	57	62	100	100	100
Grade 5	61	59	62	61	59	62	61	59	62	100	100	100
Grade 6	60	56	53	60	56	53	60	56	53	100	100	100
All	242	232	238	242	230	238	242	230	238	100	99.1	100

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2471.	2471.	2459.	31.67	24.14	26.23	40.00	51.72	40.98	16.67	18.97	13.11	11.67	5.17	19.67
Grade 4	2505.	2488.	2500.	26.23	17.54	22.58	32.79	38.60	41.94	32.79	31.58	25.81	8.20	12.28	9.68
Grade 5	2507.	2515.	2509.	24.59	20.34	24.19	22.95	27.12	19.35	22.95	28.81	30.65	29.51	23.73	25.81
Grade 6	2545.	2538.	2568.	31.67	25.00	33.96	21.67	26.79	30.19	26.67	23.21	24.53	20.00	25.00	11.32
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	28.51	21.74	26.47	29.34	36.09	33.19	24.79	25.65	23.53	17.36	16.52	16.81

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	45.00	54.39	49.18	43.33	38.60	31.15	11.67	7.02	19.67
Grade 4	49.18	46.43	43.55	36.07	26.79	40.32	14.75	26.79	16.13
Grade 5	32.79	32.20	32.26	36.07	38.98	35.48	31.15	28.81	32.26
Grade 6	45.00	37.50	56.60	26.67	30.36	28.30	28.33	32.14	15.09
All Grades	42.98	42.54	44.96	35.54	33.77	34.03	21.49	23.68	21.01

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	35.00	37.93	27.87	50.00	53.45	52.46	15.00	8.62	19.67
Grade 4	34.43	19.64	30.65	45.90	57.14	50.00	19.67	23.21	19.35
Grade 5	22.95	16.95	16.13	45.90	55.93	54.84	31.15	27.12	29.03
Grade 6	30.00	26.79	32.08	45.00	42.86	50.94	25.00	30.36	16.98
All Grades	30.58	25.33	26.47	46.69	52.40	52.10	22.73	22.27	21.43

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	45.00	38.60	31.15	41.67	57.89	54.10	13.33	3.51	14.75
Grade 4	40.98	26.79	30.65	42.62	51.79	54.84	16.39	21.43	14.52
Grade 5	27.87	15.52	12.90	39.34	56.90	54.84	32.79	27.59	32.26
Grade 6	33.33	21.43	30.19	40.00	51.79	50.94	26.67	26.79	18.87
All Grades	36.78	25.55	26.05	40.91	54.63	53.78	22.31	19.82	20.17

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More focus on 5th grade math instruction.
2. CAASPP was not available to take in Spring of 2020

School and Student Performance Data

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students								
Grade Level	Overall		Oral Language		Written Language		Number of Students Tested	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Grade K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8
Grade 1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Grade 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5
All Grades							50	34

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*
3		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
All Grades	38.00	11.76	34.00	52.94	*	26.47	*	8.82	50	34

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*
3		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	*
All Grades	46.00	20.59	38.00	41.18	*	32.35	*	5.88	50	34

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
3		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*
All Grades	36.00	11.76	*	23.53	30.00	50.00	*	14.71	50	34

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	52.00	23.53	42.00	70.59	*	5.88	50		34

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	50.00	26.47	36.00	64.71	*	8.82	50		34

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students									
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	38.00	14.71	44.00	64.71	*	20.59	50		34

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students								
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	44.00	20.59	42.00	70.59	*	8.82	50	34

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students did not take the ELPAC in Spring of 2020 due to COVID 19

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2018-19 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
438	31.3	10.3	0.5
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	45	10.3
Foster Youth	2	0.5
Homeless	1	0.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	137	31.3
Students with Disabilities	30	6.8





Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	4	0.9
American Indian	4	0.9
Asian	16	3.7
Filipino	3	0.7
Hispanic	137	31.3
Two or More Races	32	7.3
White	242	55.3

Conclusions based on this data:

1. .

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students		
Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
<div>English Language Arts</div> <div></div> <div>Yellow</div>	<div>Chronic Absenteeism</div> <div></div> <div>Orange</div>	<div>Suspension Rate</div> <div></div> <div>Orange</div>
<div>Mathematics</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div>		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. .


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Yellow 1.1 points above standard Declined -5.2 points 230	English Learners  Orange 50.1 points below standard Declined -3.4 points 36	Foster Youth  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2
Homeless  No Performance Color 0 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Orange 39.6 points below standard Declined Significantly -15.1 points 86	Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color 70.6 points below standard Increased Significantly ++22.1 points 22

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	American Indian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	Asian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 7	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2
Hispanic  Orange 42.1 points below standard Declined Significantly -18.3 points 76	Two or More Races  No Performance Color 32.6 points above standard Increased Significantly ++22 points 19	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  Green 23 points above standard Maintained -0.6 points 121

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner 109.9 points below standard Declined Significantly -30.7 points 14	Reclassified English Learners 12.1 points below standard Increased ++4.1 points 22	English Only 9.6 points above standard Declined -4.9 points 193
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Conclusions based on this data:

1.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics






The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:










This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Green 10.3 points above standard Increased ++6.5 points 230	English Learners  Yellow 34 points below standard Increased ++8.9 points 36	Foster Youth  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Orange 26.6 points below standard Declined -8.7 points 86	Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color 84.1 points below standard Increased Significantly ++26.1 points 22

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	American Indian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	Asian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 7	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2
Hispanic  Orange 29.7 points below standard Declined -7.3 points 76	Two or More Races  No Performance Color 34.9 points above standard Increased Significantly ++20.8 points 19	Pacific Islander	White  Green 29.9 points above standard Increased ++10.7 points 121

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner 108.4 points below standard Declined Significantly -48.4 points 14	Reclassified English Learners 13.3 points above standard Increased Significantly ++40.2 points 22	English Only 17.8 points above standard Increased ++6.5 points 193
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Conclusions based on this data:


1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
8.6	34.7		56.5

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance		
Class of 2017	Class of 2018	Class of 2019
Prepared	Prepared	Prepared
Approaching Prepared	Approaching Prepared	Approaching Prepared
Not Prepared	Not Prepared	Not Prepared

Conclusions based on this data:

1.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Orange 7.7 Increased +2.8 452	English Learners  Orange 6 Increased +4 50	Foster Youth  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3
Homeless  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Red 12.3 Increased Significantly +4.5 155	Students with Disabilities  Orange 15.6 Increased +5 45

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	American Indian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	Asian  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 16	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3
Hispanic  Orange 12.4 Increased +6.4 145	Two or More Races  Orange 14.7 Increased +5.9 34	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	White  Green 4.9 Maintained +0.4 246

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall there was an increase in Chronic Absenteeism.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students

English Learners

Foster Youth

Homeless

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American

American Indian

Asian

Filipino

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

White

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

2018

2019

Conclusions based on this data:

1.


School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:










This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Orange <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">2</div> Increased +1.5 457	English Learners  Blue <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0</div> Maintained 0 50	Foster Youth  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">3</div>
Homeless  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">1</div>	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Orange <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">1.3</div> Increased +0.7 157	Students with Disabilities  Red <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">8.9</div> Increased +6.3 45

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 4	American Indian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 4	Asian  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 16	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 3
Hispanic  Orange 2 Increased +1.3 150	Two or More Races  Orange 2.9 Increased +2.9 34	Pacific Islander	White  Orange 2 Increased +1.6 246

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

2017	2018	2019
	0.4	2

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Academic Achievement ELA

LEA/LCAP Goal

Pupil Outcome

Goal 1

All students (including English Learners [ELs] and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students [SES]) will demonstrate a 3% overall growth on the Smarter Balanced Assessments in English Language Arts. (PUPIL OUTCOMES) This will remain the same due to Distance Learning and COVID 19.

Identified Need

This will be based on the 2019 CAASPP data.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

CAASPP test results		
---------------------	--	--

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Teacher training

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

10,048	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality
--------	--------------------------------------------

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review student results from DIBELS (K-3) or STAR (4-6)

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review Interim Block Assessments

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Students below grade level and GATE students will receive support during blended services time.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

11,045

Source(s)

LCFF

	GATE funds
110,079	Title I

Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

K-6 instructional Aides, 1 hour per day

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

83,845

Source(s)

LCFF - Supplemental

Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

English Learners, in need of intervention, will receive instruction to support their CELDT level.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

16,642

Source(s)

LCFF - Supplemental

EIA

Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Maintain minimum class sizes when possible

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	LCFF

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Academic Achievement Mathematics

LEA/LCAP Goal

Pupil Outcomes

Goal 2

All students (including English Learners [ELs] and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students [SES]) will demonstrate a 3% overall growth on the Smarter Balanced Assessments in Mathematics. (PUPIL OUTCOMES)

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review CAASP results

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review formative assessment data from Math Expressions.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review Interim Block Assessments

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

K-6 instructional Aide

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

LCFF - Supplemental

included in Goal #1

Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

1 on 1 teacher time

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

37,904

Source(s)

Site Formula Funds
district funded

Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Maintain minimum class sizes when possible

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

LCFF

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

English Language Arts - Reading

LEA/LCAP Goal

Pupil Outcomes

Goal 3

All students (including EL and SES) will improve overall composite scores in grades K-3 by 10% as measured by DIBELS benchmark in a year's time. (PUPIL OUTCOMES)

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

DIBELS scores		
---------------	--	--

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All K-3 students

Strategy/Activity

All K-3 students will participate in DIBELS. In grades 4-6, only intervention students will take DIBELS

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

5,100	Lottery: Instructional Materials
-------	----------------------------------

Restricted Lottery funds

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will review DIBELS data during co-op days

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

6,200

Source(s)

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Title I Reading teacher

Strategy/Activity

Title I reading teacher will work with tier 2 students

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Title I

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

English Learners

LEA/LCAP Goal

Pupil Outcomes

Goal 4

Among all level 1 & 2 EL students in grades K - 6th, 75% will advance to the next level. Among all level 3 students in K - 6th, 60% will advance to level 4.

This goal will remain the same as there was no ELPAC administered in the Spring of 2020 due to COVID 19.

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
ELPAC		

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

An ELD para-educator will push in and work with groups of students for specific instruction at various proficiency levels during the school day and/or go into classes to deliver ELD or tutoring in core subjects.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

0

Source(s)

LCFF - Supplemental

included in Goal #1, Activity #6

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

School Climate and Culture

LEA/LCAP Goal

School Climate

Goal 5

All students will be educated in a safe and healthy learning environment. (SCHOOL CLIMATE)

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

A .33 Counselor working in conjunction with counseling interns will assist students who have counseling needs.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
70,368	LCFF - Supplemental also some CARES Act funds

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Running Club and Creative Corner

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

2,000

Source(s)

Site Formula Funds

Strategy/Activity 3**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Students who exhibit positive character traits will be celebrated at monthly assemblies.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

100

Source(s)

Donations

Strategy/Activity 4**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Yard Supervisors will be trained on Building Effective Schools Together (BEST)

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

2,451

Source(s)

LCFF

Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

PTO Family events

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Parent Teacher Association/Parent Faculty Club
(PTA/PFC/PTSO, PTO, etc.)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Hard to determine during the 19-20 school year as 1/3 of the year took place at home due to the COVID 19 outbreak during spring of 2020.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$355,782.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I	\$110,079.00
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	\$16,248.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$126,327.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
Donations	\$100.00
LCFF	\$13,496.00
LCFF - Supplemental	\$170,855.00
Lottery: Instructional Materials	\$5,100.00
Site Formula Funds	\$39,904.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$229,455.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$355,782.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Donations	100.00
LCFF	13,496.00
LCFF - Supplemental	170,855.00
Lottery: Instructional Materials	5,100.00
Site Formula Funds	39,904.00
Title I	110,079.00
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	16,248.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference

Amount

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
	Donations	100.00
	LCFF	13,496.00
	LCFF - Supplemental	170,855.00
	Lottery: Instructional Materials	5,100.00
	Site Formula Funds	39,904.00
	Title I	110,079.00
	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	16,248.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	231,659.00
Goal 2	37,904.00
Goal 3	11,300.00
Goal 4	0.00
Goal 5	74,919.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 4 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Patrick Eagle	Principal
Sue Madura	Other School Staff
Carrie Staten	Classroom Teacher
Joan Seitz	Classroom Teacher
James Griffin	Parent or Community Member
Angela Morton	Parent or Community Member
Jeff Motta	Parent or Community Member
Mei Lee Purvis	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee

District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Principal, Patrick Eagle on

SSC Chairperson, Alison Peoples on

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

[Stakeholder Involvement](#)

[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **Specific**, **Measurable**, **Achievable**, **Realistic**, and **Time-bound**. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school’s identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school’s identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the “Strategy/Activity #” for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
 - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>

ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019